CRIMINAL LAW SECTION OPPOSITION LETTER Page 1

March 15, 2020

Board of Governors Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave, Ste 600 Seattle, WA 98101

And To:

Washington State Supreme Court Supreme@courts.wa.gov

Re: Opposition to Proposed Rules for Discipline and Incapacity

Dear Board of Governors:

As the Chair of the **Criminal Law Section**, I am notifying you that our cross section of both prosecutors and defense lawyers have voted as a board to take a position against the implementation of the **new proposed disciplinary rules** which were created without input or consideration from stakeholders.

We believe that under due process, a committee should be established with representatives of all groups to redraft a balanced set of rules that does not create an omnificent office, which is without oversight by the membership that it serves. It is extremely troubling that the Office of Disciplinary Counsel seeks to have more authority and less oversight. We do not believe a fair or just set of rules can be drafted unless all of those involved in the lawyer discipline process have a say. Because stakeholders were not involved in drafting the proposed rules, our ideas for improving the disciplinary system were not even considered.

Currently, a committee selects hearing officers and disciplinary board members. But under the proposed rules, WSBA chooses the most important person in the new system, the Chief Regulatory Adjudicator, who hires all other adjudicators. *See* RDI 2.3(c). Since there is no restriction on which WSBA employees make the selection, ODC could be authorized to choose the Chief Regulatory Adjudicator. Since the rules eliminate the current right of parties to remove a hearing officer without cause, respondent lawyers will have no ability to avoid an adjudicator who always rules in ODC's favor.

We believe that independent volunteer hearing officers, who are familiar with and practice the particular area of law being examined is helpful to the process and provides a level of fairness. Their knowledge and experience provides a level of experience and knowledge of the intricacies associated with those laws to the table which promotes fairness to the accused.

We urge the BOG and the Supreme Court to completely reject this unilateral proposition by ODC as it does not represent a system of fairness. It will have a chilling effect on our membership and violates due process.

"We need to defend the interests of those whom we've never met and never will."

## CRIMINAL LAW SECTION OPPOSITION LETTER Page 2

Sincerely,

s/Josephine C. Townsend

Josephine C. Townsend

Chair, Criminal Law Section

WSBA 31965

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To: <u>Linford, Tera</u>

**Subject:** FW: Criminal Law Section opposition to ODC rule proposal

**Date:** Wednesday, March 17, 2021 1:47:50 PM **Attachments:** Criminal Law Section Opposition.pdf

**From:** Josephine Townsend [mailto:josie@jctownsend.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 17, 2021 1:44 PM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK < SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>

Cc: CDACC group mail < list@cdacc.org>

**Subject:** Criminal Law Section opposition to ODC rule proposal

**External Email Warning!** This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

The Criminal Law Section Respectfully submits its opposition to the proposed Rule Making by ODC.

Josephine C. Townsend Attorney At Law 211 E. 11th Street Suite 104 Vancouver WA 98660 360-694-7601 360-694-7602 Fax

Josie@JCTownsend.com

## www.JCTownsend.com

OUR OFFICE DOES NOT ACCEPT PLEADINGS, DISCOVERY, OR OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS VIA E-Mail or FAX. Hard Copies are Required absent approval in writing in advance.

Confidentiality: This email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. This email and its attachments are confidential and may also be protected by the attorney client privilege, work product doctrine, or other nondisclosure protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, you may not read, disclose, print, copy, store or disseminate the email or any attachments or the information in them. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error. Then delete it. Thank you.